

**Research Article** 

**Open Access** 

# ILL-Park: A Deep Learning Approach of Illegal Parking Detection

Cherry D. Casuat<sup>1</sup>, Julie Ann B. Susa<sup>2</sup>, Helcy D. Alon<sup>3</sup>

Department of Computer Engineering, Technological Institute of the Philippines, Manila, Philippines<sup>1</sup> Department of Computer Engineering, Technological Institute of the Philippines, Manila, Philippines<sup>2</sup> Graduate School, Technological Institute of the Philippines, Manila, Philippines<sup>3</sup>

Corresponding author: e-mail address: ccasuat.cpe@tip.edu.ph

# ABSTRACT

Illegal parking in the Philippines was prévalent, this is a critical problem in large, growing cities such as Metro Manila, Metro Cebu, Metro Davao, and other cities in the Philippines. Currently, the responsibility for detecting illegally parked vehicles has been left to law enforcement, which often requires manual inspection. The aim of this study is to detect public and private vehicles that are illegally parked on sidewalks and parked within the driving lane. To improve the efficiency of law enforcement for vehicle parking management, the researchers proposed an illegal parking detection based on an existing deep learning approach. Upon training Epoch 41/50 being the best model to be used having a 96.41 training accuracy and 92.13 validation accuracy.

Keywords - Deep Learning, Illegal parking detection, Object detection

# Introduction

The Illegal parking in the Philippines has become a serious problem as time goes by, for many urban areas in large and growing cities. The resulting effect on vehicle movement can cause issues in traffic congestion, air pollution, and public safety (Aljoufie,2016), (Yin et. al,2019) (Xun et. al,2016). Traditionally, the detection of illegal parking is achieved through manual inspection, which requires considerable human efforts from law enforcement and security personals.

Efficiently detecting illegal parking has attracted research attention from various domains. Considerable effort has been applied to the development of new algorithms designed to improve the performance of detection (He,2018) (Lee,2009), (Sarker,2015), (Xie,2017). Most of these attempts have used videos captured from fixed cameras (Lee,2009). To overcome the limitation of fixed camera coverage, recent studies used alternative data sources, such as biking trajectories, to detect illegal parking (He,2018). This study differs from these previous studies by using cameras that can be deployed on moving objects (e.g. patrolling vehicles, robots).

In a study on deep learning-based vehicle occupancy detection for open parking, a thermal camera was used in order to collect data from videos where frames from these videos are extracted for dataset preparation. To perform multi-object detection in vehicle detection, deep learning algorithms were used. Deep learning networks are used to evaluate layers and architectures on vehicle detection. With the collected data, compared are the template of parking spaces in identifying vehicle occupancy information.

Previous studies and researches on deep learning-based studies on vehicle detection and parking only detect areas where a vehicle is and where it can be parked. The detections done are for vehicles and spaces where vehicles can be parked.

In this study, vehicles are detected using deep learning. The detection focuses on vehicles parked on

sidewalks and within the driving lane. The dataset prepared are from google street view where images are taken from Aparri Cagayan and some streets in Manila near the Technological Institute of the Philippines.

# Methods

This section illustrates the procedures in creating the detection system as shown in Fig.1.



Fig.1. Procedural Steps

## Dataset Gathering and Annotation

In gathering the dataset for this project, google street view is used for collecting images of the streets where vehicles are seen. The dataset gathered consists of 300 or more images for detection. After gathering the dataset needed, the images are annotated for the AI to determine the vehicle parking classification whether the vehicle is parked or illegally parked or have been parked badly. Label image is used for annotating the images gathered in the dataset. As shown in Fig. 2 the annotated images are shown wherein vehicles are parked and shown in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 are the annotation for vehicle labeled as badparking.



Fig. 2 Sample Properly Parked Annotated Dataset



Fig. 3 Sample Bad Parking Annotated Dataset

# Training and Validation

For training and validation, the dataset and annotated images are stored in the google drive for and are to be mounted at google colab as seen in Fig. 3 for dataset training and validation. The code that is shown in Fig. 4 determines the training loss and validation loss. The training and validation results are shown in chapter 3 and seen in summary is the training accuracy and validation accuracy in Fig. 5 having the highest training accuracy at 96.41 and validation accuracy at 92.13.

1	from	google.colab	import	drive
	drive	e.mount('/cont	ent/gd	rive')

- □→ Mounted at /content/gdrive
- [ ] cd gdrive
- [→ /content/gdrive
- [ ] cd My\ Drive
- C→ /content/gdrive/My Drive
- [ ] cd Colab\ Notebooks
- C→ /content/gdrive/My Drive/Colab Notebooks
- [ ] cd Midterm-Exam/
- \_\_\_\_ /content/gdrive/My Drive/Colab Notebooks/Midterm-Exam

Fig. 4 Google drive mounted in google collab

Dim Langes Linearis, exercis, experimental index (Internet Vision), and a second se

Fig. 5 Sample codes

## Evaluation

In evaluation and deployment, the trained models of the dataset are evaluated in google colab

where the highest accuracy for training and validation is evaluated to an h5 file.

## **Results and Discussions**

This section presented the Training Validation Results, Evaluation of the Model Results, Graphical Interface, Testing Results.

# Training Validation Results

101 IO1	
bilitis) presenterenterenterenterenterery . (i)a lafatage a lamis 37.4323 a gela lagur, Lizzas 6.1074 a gela lagur 3.3	icano h.62x3 o yala_layar_3_lawar 53.6000 o nal_lawar 18
MORCHS-tensorToole. Non-Constructing third. Artist-guitage three fields in the solution of the	meanly is depretated. Finane was throught.vt.Turnary is
Emore 2/10	
SUBJUL (	2 loss, 5.3893 - solo laws, 5 loss, 8.3121 - wal lass-
aport Iddi	
Ell/Elf (	2 lines 3.400 - yeld Lever 3 lines 5.3161 - vel lines-
TOOR 4/51	
SoliSil pressenteres and second a data bioglates - less it. ibis - pris, layer, 1 lass - 1-985 - pris, layer,	A lines: \$11234 - yoln layer, \$ lines: \$1000 - vik lass-
Kenik 3/54	
5101512 (commencement - 41% 85%a/41m - Jose 5.901) Jack J. Jose 1,079 - 018 Jack J.	Lines: 3,5515 - pein lines 1 lines: 4.8377 - 541 lines 1
Kpes uni	
SUIGUE (************************************	Lines J.B.H prin, Level, Lines 1, 500 - vol., Sons 3
Apoch 1739	
AUD/AUD [commentations and commentation an	Come Praint - Interference Praint - and Source -
Epick 8:01	Lange & State - and a lange & house it when - and house a
vertice for any supervised and supervised a part of the state a bar when a	Comp. 17-11.6 - bits 'shet's have a said - are hour a
Append 1951	tions however, and there is been a set of the time to be a set of the set of
the state of the s	Crue tren - hu/nhu/Crue tran - ar/un :
bitchif (semananesses) and a film film atom a loss 1 MUR - and (seman ) have 1 MUR - and have	Lines 1 7111 - sola lines 1 lanes 1 8181 - and lines 1
Real 1918	Contrast - hardeler Contrast - architer
blightly (concentrations and a second s	Linner, J. 2000 . pola layar 1 lanas himbli wal lanas h
Book 10/15	
SirrSir (Announcementations and a strate strate a state of the strate of	Linner 6, 1975 - prin heper 1 heats 5 4565 - nat Jones #
Epoch 12/12	
"Elifit townserversessessessesses - dife Minalater - tokar 4,007 - yeld_liper_5_tokar 1,018 - yeld_liper_3	Line: 3,198 - pds_laper_l_ine: 1.5997 - tal_loss: 1
Rpck 16/10	
(c)/iii [contraction contraction of a life bilitating a last 4,015 = psic_lput_l_last 1,111 = psic_lput_l	Creek 1980 - prictiger James 1980 - sal Jean 1
Tpoch 15/39	
Super construction of the second state of the	Crime 1903 - histratist's hade started a set year a
Epseh 16/10	Annual States and Street & States is seen a set Street in
Supply compared and source and so	Prove rights - hold read "read to set your -
Million deservations and the second state of the second state of will a second state 1 been 1 been 1 been 1	Long. S. Mild or main Tames 'I house it Mild o and house it
fact this	Contrast and the Contrast and and a
ALL'ALL Comments and ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL AL	Louis 1,000 - sole lawer J lanes 1,000 + oil louis 2
Dody 16/11	Court states - Mindrates Court states - and states -
Schröch preisenenenenenenenenenen - 448g Sympleting - Johns 5, 5014 - puin laper 5 longe 1,0014 - puin taper 5	Class: 4:0000 - polo latur 3 losse: 0.0000 - nal losse 1
Epoch 20/10	
SUBSIT procession and a substance of a substance of a substance of the sub	Line: 1,4094 - polo larar 1,1004- 3,5104 - 4al Jones 1
Apprix 25-52	
hil/312 [************************************	Gam. 1,708 - pile layar J.Jame 5.000 - val. Jone 2
1003 (0/19	
printed from the second state and state and strate a few relation of the second state and state	Crem. 11201 herCridat Crem. 12001 - performer a
And a set of the set o	Lange & Million, and a Young & Lange & Martin, and Arrest &
Print	Closer Lightha - held labor I have lighthat a set losse h
build in an	Lines 1.75M - sola lines 1 lines 1.000 - and lines 1
mode white	Come and a burd when the state of the state
April 17-17	

#### Fig. 4 Epoch from 1-25 Training Loss

nie jawe jiews 5.001 - val jawe jiews 15.001 - valjees 15.001 - valjele jawe jiews 3.006 - valgele jawe jiews 6.014 - valgele jawe jiews 5.000 Ne same U.Summay is depressiol. Hense we U.Comput.cl.Summary instead.
- yolojayerjjann 5,2092 - yolojayerjjann 6,1121 - valjann 19,5465 - valgolojayerjjann 2,9585 - valgolojayerjjann 5,7127 - valgolojayerjjann 7,956
- polo_layer_2_boss: 3.4996 - polo_layer_3_boss: 5.3562 - val_boss: 12.9721 - val_yolo_layer_1_boss: 2.5722 - val_yolo_layer_3_boss: 5.9524 - val_yolo_layer_3
- polo_layer_2_loss: 3.3234 - polo_layer_3_loss: 5.0489 - val_sos: 13.3757 - val_polo_layer_1_loss: 2.4727 - val_polo_layer_2_loss: 5.0469 - val_polo_layer_3_loss: 5.0469 - val_polo_layer_3_
• yolo_layer_2_loss: 2.9529 - yolo_layer_3_loss: 4.8377 - val_joss: 11.7467 - val_yolo_layer_1_loss: 2.4539 - val_yolo_layer_2_loss: 5.8062 - val_yolo_layer_3_loss: 8.1931
. yolo_layer_2_loss: 2.6536 - yolo_layer_3_loss: 4.5025 - val_toss: 17.3824 - val_yolo_layer_3_loss: 2.7750 - val_yolo_layer_3_loss: 5.7268 - val_yolo_layer_3_loss: 8.6528
· yole_layer_2_loss: 2.7848 - yole_layer_3_loss: 4.3115 - val_cos: 14.4825 - val_yole_layer_1_loss: 3.0928 - val_yole_layer_3_loss: 5.9476 - val_yole_layer_3_loss: 8.0469
. yole_layer_2_loss: 2.7178 - yole_layer_1_loss: 3.9284 - val_coss: 11.1902 - val_yole_layer_1_loss: 2.5726 - val_yole_layer_2_loss: 5.0202 - val_yole_layer_3_loss: 8.1260
. yolo_kayer_2_loss: 2.4220 - yolo_kayer_3_loss: 3.8588 - val_loss: 3.8548 - val_yolo_kayer_3_loss: 2.4300 - val_yolo_kayer_3_loss: 6.2283 - val_yolo_kayer_3_loss: 8.2424
. yole_kayer_t_loss: 2.3322 - yole_kayer_t_loss: 3.9262 - val_cos: 10.0246 - val_yole_kayer_t_loss: 2.7351 - val_yole_kayer_t_loss: 6.0098 - val_yole_kayer_t_loss: 8.8164
. yole_layer_l_loss: 2.6633 - yole_layer_l_loss: 3.6661 - val_cos: 14.9266 - val_yole_layer_l_loss: 3.6555 - val_yole_layer_l_loss: 5.9766 - val_yole_layer_l_loss: 3.6266
. yole_layer_l_loss: 2.1175 - yole_layer_l_loss: 3.4385 - val_loss: 8.4187 - val_yole_layer_l_loss: 2.1777 - val_yole_layer_l_loss: 4.4462 - val_yole_layer_l_loss: 8.4278
. yole_kayer_2_koms: 2.1396 - yole_kayer_1_koms: 3.5397 - vml_koms: 17.3020 - vml_yole_kayer_1_koms: 3.7667 - vml_yole_kayer_2_koms: 6.1756 - vml_yole_kayer_2_koms: 8.5323
. yolo_layer_2_loss: 1.9915 - yolo_layer_3_loss: 3.4538 - val_joss: 16.7373 - val_yolo_layer_1_loss: 3.5284 - val_yolo_layer_2_loss: 6.1341 - val_yolo_layer_3_loss: 8.8286
· yole_layer_l_loss: 1.4775 - yole_layer_l_loss: 3.4253 - val_loss: 14.5998 - val_yole_layer_l_loss: 3.6811 - val_yole_layer_l_loss: 6.2834 - val_yole_layer_l_loss: 8.574
. yole_layer_l_loss: 1.4240 = yole_layer_l_loss: 3.4440 = val_loss: 3.5335 = val_yole_layer_l_loss: 3.5448 = val_yole_layer_l_loss: 6.9202 = val_yole_layer_l_loss: 8.4524
. yole layer 2 loss: 2.0454 - yole layer 3 loss: 3.2458 - val loss: 12.4581 - val yole layer 1 loss: 2.5559 - val yole layer 2 loss: 5.5184 - val yole layer 3 loss: 8.6182
. yolo lawer 2 loss: 1,4391 - yolo lawer 3 loss: 3,2866 - yel loss: 26,3612 - yel yolo lawer 1 loss: 2,5633 - yel yolo lawer 2 loss: 6,3351 - yel yolo lawer 3 loss: 8,6471
. yolo layer 2 loss: 1.4300 - yolo layer 3 loss: 3.1370 - val loss: 17.2259 - val yolo layer 1 loss: 4.0001 - val yolo layer 2 loss: 4.2011 - val yolo layer 3
· yolo layer 2 loss: 1.4644 - yolo layer 3 loss: 3.1114 - val loss: 17.1419 - val yolo layer 1 loss: 3.4910 - val yolo layer 2 loss: 6.5143 - val yolo layer 3 loss: 8.5295
. yolo layer 2 loss: 1,7316 - yolo layer 3 loss: 3,1183 - yol loss: 21,6978 - yol yolo layer 1 loss: 3,5938 - yol yolo layer 2 loss: 6,6966 - yol yolo layer 3 loss: 8,6997
. volo laver 2 loss: 1.787 - volo laver 3 loss: 2.4833 - val loss: 14.6481 - val volo laver 1 loss: 2.5930 - val volo laver 2 loss: 6.3275 - val volo laver 3 loss: 6.4297
, who have 2 loss: 1.313 - who have 3 loss: 2.668 - whi loss: 12.115 - whi who have 1 loss: 2.669 - whi who have 2 loss: 6.2783 - whi who have 3 loss: 8.4326
. who haver 2 loss: 1,7162 - who haver 3 loss: 2,8169 - wel loss: 1,7366 - wel who haver 1 loss: 2,3477 - wel wolo haver 2 loss: 6,077 - wel who haver 3 loss: 6,766

Fig. 5 Epoch from 1-25 Validation Loss

									1		1	******		- *
80008 #3739 813/813 /			#51ms/eten -	1	5 3545		to lawer 1 hours		units haven it losses	1 1414	- molo lawar 3 losses		- mal losses	
Epoch 24/50									,		1			
512/512 [************************************	-	440a	859ms/step -	10881	5.2203 -	- ye!	lo_layer_l_loss:	0.8541 -	yolo_layer_2_loss:	1.5801 -	- yolo_layer_3_loss;	2.7861	- val_loss:	1.1
Epoch 27/50														
512/512 []		445#	E69ma/step -	20881	5.1110 .	- ye:	lo_layer_1_loss:	0.8120 -	yelo_lsyer_2_loss:	1.6143 -	- yolo_layer_l_loss;	2.6847	- val_loss:	- 2
Epoch 28/50														
5127512 [************************************	-	4428	to ma/step -	10881	211684 -	- ye.	10_1Ayer_1_10881	0.0206 -	Acco"rehes." \$ "ross!	1.5154 -	- Aoro"rahes"1"rossi	2.8106	- val_10881	. *
\$12/512 (annual)		4364	#51me/#ten -	loss	4.9555		to lawer 1 hours	0.7301 -	unio laver 2 loss:	1.5038	- molo lawar 1 loss	2.6668	- wal loss	
Easth 32/50			construction -											
512/512 [************************************		4364	851ms/step -	10881	5.0854 -	- ye	do layer 1 loss:	0.8244 -	yelo layer 2 loss:	1.5319 -	- yolo layer 3 loss;	2.7291	- val loss:	1.2
Epoch 31/50														
512/512 []		434#	848ms/step -	10881	4.0828 -	- yo:	lo_layer_l_loss:	0.8015 -	yolo_layer_2_loss:	1.4423 -	<ul> <li>yolo_layer_3_loss;</li> </ul>	2.6390	- val_loss:	18
spoch 32/30									units house & house					
Fronth 31/16		.,,,,	es maracep -		4.9491.1	- 70	re"rales"t"seese	*	Jana value v 10001		. loro"rales"1"ross	4.7233		
512/512 (************************************		435.4	#50ma/aten -	loss	4.9186	- 10	to layer 1 loss:	0.7826 -	volo laver 2 loss:	1.5127	- volo laver 3 loss	2.6632	val loss	. 1
Epoch 34/50														
512/512 []	-	434#	848ms/step -	10881	4.1085 -	- yei	lo_layer_l_loss:	0.6076 -	yolo_layer_2_loss:	1.3172 -	<ul> <li>yolo_layer_l_loss;</li> </ul>	2.1837	- val_loss:	12
Epoch 35/50														
512/512 []	-	443#	Etims/step -	10881	4.0270 -	- ye:	lo_layer_1_loss:	0.6586 -	yele_lsyer_2_loss:	1.2188 -	- yolo_layer_l_loss;	2.1496	- val_loss:	1.8
\$12/512 (************************************		414+	Miles/sten -	loss	3.7508		lo laver 1 loss:	0.1667 -	wels lawer 2 loss:	1.1322 .	- volo laver 1 loss	2.0519	. val lossa	
Epoch 37/50									1		1			
512/512 []	-	4398	858ms/step -	10881	3.8647 -	- yo:	lo_layer_1_loss:	0.5879 -	yolo_layer_2_loss:	1.1683 -	- yolo_layer_l_loss:	2.1085	- val_loss:	1.1
Epoch 38/50														
512/512 []	-	438#	856ms/step -	10851	3.6119 .	- ye:	lo_layer_1_loss:	0.6386 -	yolo_layer_2_loss:	1.1372 -	<ul> <li>yolo_layer_3_loss;</li> </ul>	1.8361	- val_loss:	19
apoen 39730			*****	1			to laws 1 hours		units laner 3 loss-	1.1143	- molo lawar 3 losses	1 4444	- mal losses	
Enoth #1/50			recast scop -				on"rahar"t"team		here when a room.		. loto_relet_2_tons			
512/512 []		439#	857ms/step -	10001	3.5255 -	- 10	lo laver 1 loss:	0.5572 -	volo laver 2 loss:	1.1208 -	- volo laver 3 loss;	1.0474	- val loss:	1.1
Epoch 41/50														
512/512 []	-	433#	846ms/step -	10881	3.5085 -	- ye:	lo_layer_1_loss:	0.4611 -	yelo_layer_2_loss:	1.0361 -	- yolo_lsysr_l_loss;	2.0113	- val_loss:	17
Epoch 42/50														
5127512 [************************************		****	srema/step -	10881	3.53/5 .	- ye.	lo_iayer_1_icesi	0.2888 -	Acco"reAst_1"rose1	1.0940 -	- Aoro"rahes"1"rossi	1.8547	- val_10881	1.4
512/512 (		442+	#63ms/step -	loss	3.2368 -	- 100	lo laver 1 loss:	0.4570 -	volo laver 2 loss:	1.0039 -	- volo laver 3 loss	1,7759	- val loss	1.2
Epoch 44/50														
512/512 []	-	443s	866ms/step -	10651	3.3812 -	- ye!	lo_layer_l_loss:	0.4967 -	yelo_layer_2_loss:	1.0038 -	- yolo_layer_3_loss:	1.8807	- val_loss:	1
Epoch 45/50														
512/512 []	-	4428	863ms/step -	10881	3.3742 .	- yo:	lo_layer_l_loss:	0.5393 -	yolo_layer_2_loss:	0.9755 -	<ul> <li>yolo_layer_3_loss;</li> </ul>	1.0594	- val_loss:	- 2
Epoch 46/30			#57mm/#7mm -	10001	3.4188		to taxant 1 house	a 1014 -	unio lawar 2 loss:	0.0457	- molo lawar 1 loss	1.0516	- mal loans	
Enoth \$7/10			estimation and a			- /-			/me_mpe_e		- fore_refer_r_ress			
512/512 [************************************		443#	865ma/atep -	10881	3.1604 -	- 200	to layer 1 loss:	0.4775 -	yelo layer 2 loss:	0.9230 -	- yolo layer 3 loss;	1.7599	- val loss:	1.1
Epoch 48/50														
512/512 [************************************	-	440#	859ms/step -	loss	3.3350 -	- yei	lo_layer_l_loss:	0.5217 -	yolo_lsyer_2_loss:	0.9641 -	<ul> <li>yolo_lsysr_3_loss;</li> </ul>	1.9462	- val_loss:	- 2
Epoch 49/30							An Annual A Annual		where the second statement of		and a local distance of the second			
512/512 [ Booch 52/50		**15	to zna/ step -	10881	3.2.46	- ye.	re"relet_t"teest	v. ee.ce -	Acco"relations	v.99v2 ·	- Aoro"rakes"y"rossi	1.0019		
512/512 (************************************	-	435a	850ms/step -	10001	3.3231 -	- 10	lo laver 1 loss:	0,4438 -	volo laver 2 loss:	1.0548 -	- volo lever 3 loss:	1.0225	- val loss:	1

Fig. 6 Epoch from 25-50 Training Loss

the second s
polo_layer_2_loss: 1.5926 - yolo_layer_3_loss: 2.8992 - val_oss: 19.2425 - val_yolo_layer_1_loss: 2.5214 - val_yolo_layer_2_loss: 4.6463 - val_yolo_layer_3_loss: 8.5856
yolo_layer_2_loss: 1.5001 - yolo_layer_3_loss: 2.7061 - val_loss: 17.8503 - val_yolo_layer_1_loss: 2.6076 - val_yolo_layer_2_loss: 6.1007 - val_yolo_layer_3_loss: 8.6662
yolo_layer_2_loss: 1.6163 - yolo_layer_3_loss: 2.6867 - val_loss: 22.4116 - val_yolo_layer_1_loss: 3.1760 - val_yolo_layer_3_loss: 6.842 - val_yolo_layer_3_loss: 6.1658
yolo_layer_2_loss: 1.5152 = yolo_layer_3_loss: 2.6166 = val_loss: 12.6977 = val_yolo_layer_1_loss: 2.6119 = val_yolo_layer_3_loss: 6.6027 = val_yolo_layer_3_loss: 9.6430
yolo_layer_2_loss: 1.1038 = yolo_layer_3_loss: 2.6660 = val_loss: 14.6776 = val_yolo_layer_1_loss: 3.1541 = val_yolo_layer_3_loss: 6.6977 = val_yolo_layer_3_loss: 8.6494
yolo_layer_2_loss: 1.5319 - yolo_layer_3_loss: 2.7251 - val_loss: 22.2509 - val_yolo_layer_1_loss: 3.0407 - val_yolo_layer_3_loss: 7.2276 - val_yolo_layer_3_loss: 9.0403
yolo_layer_2_loss: 1.4423 - yolo_layer_3_loss: 2.4399 - val_loss: 13.8377 - val_yolo_layer_1_loss: 2.5415 - val_yolo_layer_3_loss: 6.1577 - val_yolo_layer_3_loss: 8.6552
yolo_layer_l_loss: 1.4478 - yolo_layer_l_loss: 2.7133 - val_oss: 14.9769 - val_yolo_layer_l_loss: 3.4350 - val_yolo_layer_l_loss: 6.2261 - val_yolo_layer_l_loss: 8.2291
yolo_layer_2_loss: 1.5127 - yolo_layer_3_loss: 2.6632 - val_loss: 1.3553 - val_yolo_layer_1_loss: 3.6547 - val_yolo_layer_2_loss: 6.5335 - val_yolo_layer_3_loss: 8.8599
yolojayer,ljom: 1.3172 - yolojayer,ljom: 2.3837 - valjon: 22.3753 - valjolojayer,ljom: 2.3465 - valjolojayer,ljom: 6.2865 - valjolojayer,ljom: 6.5563
yolo_layer_2_loss: 1.2100 - yolo_layer_3_loss: 2.1006 - val_loss: 11.5209 - val_yolo_layer_1_loss: 2.0204 - val_yolo_layer_3_loss: 6.2001 - 4.2001
yole_layer_2_toss: 1.1322 = yole_layer_2_toss: 2.0519 = val_toss: 1.5333 = val_vole_layer_1_toss: 3.0456 = val_vole_layer_2_toss: 6.4187 = val_vole_layer_2_toss: 6.4517
yole_layer_2_loss: 1.1683 = yole_layer_2_loss: 2.1885 = val_loss: 3.7031 = val_yole_layer_1_loss: 2.6364 = val_yole_layer_1_loss: 6.2638 = val_yole_layer_1_loss: 8.5786
yolo_laywer_2_loss: 1.1372 - yolo_laywer_3_loss: 1.8343 - val_loss: 9.9703 - val_yolo_laywer_1_loss: 2.9616 - val_yolo_laywer_2_loss: 6.7559 - val_yolo_laywer_3_loss: 9.8669
yolojayer_ljoss: 1.1542 - yolojayer_ljoss: 1.9011 - valjoss: 20.2399 - valjvolojayer_ljoss: 2.7517 - valjvolojayer_ljoss: 6.2200 - valjvolojayer_ljoss: 8.6605
yole_layer_l_loss: 1.1200 - yole_layer_l_loss: 1.401 - val_loss: 11.409 - val_yole_layer_l_loss: 3.4246 - val_yole_layer_l_loss: 6.5926 - val_yole_layer_l_loss: 8.2448
yolo_layer_2_loss: 1.0381 - yolo_layer_3_loss: 2.0113 - val_loss: 7.0670 - val_yolo_layer_1_loss: 2.5274 - val_yolo_layer_2_loss: 6.0514 - val_yolo_layer_3_loss: 8.7937
yolo_layer_l_loss: 1.0940 - yolo_layer_l_loss: 1.0547 - val_loss: 10.7842 - val_yolo_layer_l_loss: 2.0012 - val_yolo_layer_l_loss: 6.4247 - val_yolo_layer_l_loss: 8.8576
yole_layer_t_loss: 1.009 - yole_layer_t_loss: 1.799 - val_loss: 25.1091 - val_yole_layer_t_loss: 2.5975 - val_yole_layer_t_loss: 6.1438 - val_yole_layer_t_loss: 9.1395
yole_layer_l_iones 1.4038 - yole_layer_l_iones 1.4007 - val_iones 14.4369 - val_yole_layer_l_iones 3.0708 - val_yole_layer_l_iones 4.0771 - val_yole_layer_l_iones 4.6974
yole_layer_l_loses 0.9755 - yole_layer_l_loses 1.8554 - val_loses 24.5681 - val_yole_layer_l_loses 3.1469 - val_yole_layer_l_loses 4.6151 - val_yole_layer_l_loses 8.4565
yole_layer_l_loss: 0.1057 - yole_layer_l_loss: 1.9516 - val_loss: 16.5516 - val_yole_layer_l_loss: 2.0079 - val_yole_layer_l_loss: 6.0266 - val_yole_layer_l_loss: 9.1255
yole_layer_l_loses 0.0220 - yole_layer_l_loses 1.7599 - val_loses 17.5665 - val_yole_layer_l_loses 2.5257 - val_yole_layer_l_loses 6.2980 - val_yole_layer_l_loses 8.9425
yole_layer_2_loss: 0.4641 - yole_layer_1_loss: 1.4642 - val_oss: 23.5525 - val_yole_layer_1_loss: 2.8449 - val_yole_layer_2_loss: 6.6143 - val_yole_layer_1_loss: 3.6181
yole_layer_2_loss: 0.9922 - yole_layer_3_loss: 1.0239 - val_oss: 13.9109 - val_yole_layer_1_loss: 3.2957 - val_yole_layer_2_loss: 6.4475 - val_yole_layer_3_loss: 8.4759
yolo_layerlow: 1.6568 - yolo_layerlow: 1.6225 - val_low: 14.1258 - val_yolo_layerlow: 2.7870 - val_yolo_layerlow: 6.456 - val_yolo_layerlow: 9.0815

Fig. 7 Epoch from 25-50 Validation Loss



Fig. 8 Training and Validation Results. Dataset Training and Validation Line Chart for Training Accuracy and Validation Accuracy.

In Fig. 8 shows the line chart shows the highest accuracy for training and validation. Epoch 41/50 being the best model to be used having a 96.41 training accuracy and 92.13 validation accuracy.

# **Evaluation Model Results**

### LINKER: Journal of Computing and Technology

Vol. 1, No. 1, (2020), pp. 8-12

Model File: midterm/models/detection model-ex-U1U--loss-UUU/.661.h5 Using IoU : 0.5 Using Object Threshold : 0.3 Using Non-Maximum Suppression : 0.5 bad-parking: 0.0900 parked: 0.3412 mAP: 0.2156 Model File: midterm/models/detection\_model-ex-010--loss-0007.789.h5 Using IoU : 0.5 Using Object Threshold : 0.3 Using Non-Maximum Suppression : 0.5 bad-parking: 0.1667 parked: 0.5632 mAP: 0.3650 \_\_\_\_\_ Model File: midterm/models/detection\_model-ex-011--loss-0007.254.h5 Using IoU : 0.5 Using Object Threshold : 0.3 Using Non-Maximum Suppression : 0.5 bad-parking: 0.2349 parked: 0.6572 mAP: 0.4461 Model File: midterm/models/detection\_model-ex-011--loss-0007.404.h5 Using IoU : 0.5 Using Object Threshold : 0.3 Using Non-Maximum Suppression : 0.5 bad-parking: 0.1018 parked: 0.3627 mAP: 0.2322 Model File: midterm/models/detection\_model-ex-011--loss-0007.518.h5 Using IoU : 0.5 Using Object Threshold : 0.3 Using Non-Maximum Suppression : 0.5 bad-parking: 0.1637 parked: 0.3893 mAP: 0.2765

#### Fig. 9 Evaluation of Model. 09 to 11.

\_\_\_\_\_

Model File: midterm/models/detection\_model-ex-008--loss-0008.380.h5

Using IoU : 0.5 Using Object Threshold : 0.3 Using Non-Maximum Suppression : 0.5 bad-parking: 0.1514 parked: 0.625 marked: 0.625 Model File: midterm/models/detection\_model-ex-009--loss-0007.797.h5

Using Object Threshold : 0.3 Using Object Threshold : 0.3 Using Non-Maximum Suppression : 0.5 bad-parking: 0.1817 parked: 0.4967 mAP: 0.3392

#### Fig 9 Evaluation of Model 7 to 9

Model File: midterm/models/detection\_model-ex-006--loss-0008.930.h5 Using IoU : 0.5 Using Object Threshold : 0.3 Using Non-Maximum Suppression : 0.5 bad-parking: 0.1057 parked: 0.4009 mAP: 0.2533 Model File: midterm/models/detection\_model-ex-006--loss-0009.005.h5 Using IoU : 0.5 Using Object Threshold : 0.3 Using Non-Maximum Suppression : 0.5 bad-parking: 0.1014 parked: 0.4452 mAP: 0.2733 Model File: midterm/models/detection\_model-ex-006--loss-0009.094.h5 Using IoU : 0.5 Using Object Threshold : 0.3 Using Non-Maximum Suppression : 0.5 bad-parking: 0.1503 parked: 0.5655 mAP: 0.3579 Model File: midterm/models/detection\_model-ex-006--loss-0009.158.h5 Using IoU : 0.5 Using Object Threshold : 0.3 Using Non-Maximum Suppression : 0.5 bad-parking: 0.1540 parked: 0.3660 mAP: 0.2600 Model File: midterm/models/detection\_model-ex-007--loss-0008.548.h5 Using IoU : 0.5 Using Object Threshold : 0.3 Using Non-Maximum Suppression : 0.5 bad-parking: 0.0985 parked: 0.3886 mAP: 0.2435

#### Fig. 10 Evaluation of Model 5 to 7

#### Graphical User Interface

	DS103 FINAL PROJECT	
	Treased David TOLO VS Algorithms	(B)
	Vehicle Parking Classification Using Deep Learnin	
	Detector Linew & Volucion in Parriand	
IMAGE	WEBCAM	VIDEO
	O	
		<u> </u>
NUMBER OF A		-
		and the state

#### Fig 11 Sample GUI

Fig. 11 presented the graphical user interface of the system. The system consists of (1) image where they could upload the images of a car parked, (2) Video to upload the video of those car parked, and (3) Webcam for live feed car parked in the sidewalks. The system will determine if the car parked is properly parked, badly parked, and illegally parked

### **Conclusion and Future Works**

To satisfy the requirement of 85 mAP and the objective of detecting illegal parking, the researchers concluded that for the object detection to become successful the training and validation needs to have an accuracy of at least 90 percent above and for the evaluation of models to have an mAP of 85 above. Upon training the epoch 41/50 being the best model to be used having a 96.41 training accuracy and 92.13 validation accuracy. Having attained this percentage the researchers concluded that detection will be successful. For future works, can be integrated with car plates detection to easily determine those who parked illegally.

# Acknowledgment

The proponents would like to thank MR. SUAVE Laboratory for the computing facilities, without those facilities this study will never be feasible.

# **Authors Bio note**

**CHERRY D. CASUAT** currently a Doctor of Engineering student from the Technological Institute of the Philippines. She is currently an associate professor in the Department of Computer Engineering, College of Engineering and Architecture, Technological Institute of the Philippines. Her current research interests include Employability Signals and Machine Learning.

JULIE ANN B. SUA is a graduate of the Master's Degree of Engineering in Education major in Computer Engineering. She is currently an Assistant professor in the Department of Computer Engineering, College of Engineering and Architecture, Technological Institute of the Philippines. Her current research interests include Signal Processing and Embedded Systems.

**HELCY D. ALON** currently a Master of Engineering student from the Technological Institute of the Philippines. Her current research interests include Data Science and Embedded Systems.

# References

Aljoufie, M. (2016). Analysis of Illegal Parking Behavior in Jeddah. *Current Urban Studies*, 04(04), 393-408. doi: 10.4236/cus.2016.44026

Yin, Z., Ma, A., & Goldberg, D. (2019). A deep learning approach for rooftop geocoding. *Transactions In GIS*, 23(3), 495-514. doi: 10.1111/tgis.12536

Xun Zhou, Amin Vahedian Khezerlou, Alex Liu, Zubair Shafiq, and Fan Zhang. 2016. A traffic flow approach to early detection of gathering events. In Proceedings of the 24th ACM SIGSPATIAL International Conference on Advances in Geographic Information Systems. ACM, 4. He, T., Bao, J., Li, R., Ruan, S., Li, Y., Tian, C., & Zheng, Y. (2018). Detecting Vehicle Illegal Parking Events using Sharing Bikes' Trajectories. *Proceedings Of The 24Th ACM SIGKDD International Conference On Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining*. doi: 10.1145/3219819.3219887

Lee, J., Ryoo, M., Riley, M., & Aggarwal, J. (2009). Real-Time Illegal Parking Detection in Outdoor Environments Using 1-D Transformation. *IEEE Transactions On Circuits And Systems For Video Technology*, 19(7), 1014-1024. doi: 10.1109/tcsvt.2009.2020249

Sarker, M., Weihua, C., & Song, M. (2015). Detection and Recognition of Illegally Parked Vehicles Based on an Adaptive Gaussian Mixture Model and a Seed Fill Algorithm. *Journal Of Information And Communication Convergence Engineering*, 13(3), 197-204. doi: 10.6109/jicce.2015.13.3.197

Xie, X., Wang, C., Chen, S., Shi, G., & Zhao, Z. (2017). Real-Time Illegal Parking Detection System Based on Deep Learning. Proceedings Of The 2017 International Conference On Deep Learning Technologies - ICDLT '17. doi: 10.1145/3094243.3094261